

121 ✓  
 for legal  
 In Adams who was hitherto committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this county for failing to give security for his appearance at the next court has two children begotten on the body of Mary Adams was brought into court and with Josiah Muddaugh and Stephen Muddaugh his securities entered into and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Tyler Esq Governor of Vt. that is to say the 1<sup>st</sup> In Adams in the sum of \$30.00 and the 1<sup>st</sup> Josiah & Stephen Muddaugh in the sum of \$15 each of their respective lands & movables goods & chattels to be levied and to the use of the Court to be answered that is to say if the 1<sup>st</sup> In Adams shall appear here on the 3<sup>rd</sup> Monday in July next then & there to abide the proof of the C<sup>t</sup> shall not depart from thence without leave of the Court  
 about Sam<sup>l</sup> Esq<sup>t</sup> pres. Perry W<sup>m</sup> Esq<sup>t</sup> Justice

✓ Tyler vs Adams for good wages ad. as Clements in Case Cont<sup>d</sup>  
 Washington ad. & vs Holliman & Chy On the motion of the Compt<sup>r</sup> Arthur Holliman is by the C<sup>t</sup> appointed guardian to defend Micajah B Holliman & Francis E Holliman infant children of Erwin Holliman in this Suit

83.66 ✓  
 State of Vermont  
 Court vs Goddwynn ass<sup>t</sup>. Jury sworn to try this issue (twice)  
 James Polaw, Etheldred Cammer, Rolling Barnes, Lawrence Cooks, William Woodard, Clements Rochelle, Willis Britt, Jordan Joiner, Portman Bryant, Francis Harris, Benjamin Polant & John Cotton the att<sup>s</sup> for the def<sup>t</sup> offered in evidence to the jury an account of more than 5 years standing with the att<sup>r</sup> for the att<sup>r</sup> moved the Court to exchange all items of more than 5 years standing from the date thereof the Court being divided the motion was overruled and the att<sup>r</sup> for the def<sup>t</sup> excepted to the opinion of the Court and filed his bill of exceptions which was signed sealed & and the att<sup>r</sup> for the def<sup>t</sup> further moved the C<sup>t</sup> to instruct the jury that they should pay no attention to the items contained in the att<sup>r</sup> James acc<sup>t</sup> relative to, & more charged against him for the year 1850, which motion was overruled to which opinion of the C<sup>t</sup> the att<sup>r</sup> for the def<sup>t</sup> filed his bill of exceptions which was signed and sealed by the Court and the jury returned Verdict for plt for \$29.22 (sd from Jan<sup>y</sup> 1851) and the def<sup>t</sup> by his att<sup>r</sup> prayed an appeal to the next superior Court to be holden for this county which is granted him.

✓ Newsum vs Westbrooks ad<sup>r</sup> pay by testimony  
 & Ins<sup>g</sup> by St. S. I need to specially state.  
 Debt - please write.

8  
 558  
 22  
 230  
 58  
 949